EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

WALTER SONNENFELDT & ASSOCIATES

4904 Ertter Drive Rockville, Maryland 20852 301-770-3299 Telecopier: 301-468-5953

RECEIVED

May 1, 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECHETARY

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation

CC Docket No. 92-297ET Docket No. 94-124

Dear Mr. Caton:

On May 1, 1995, representatives of Video/Phone Systems, Inc. ("Video/Phone") met with representatives of the Commission's Office of Plans and Policy to discuss matters related to the Commission's proposal in CC Docket No. 92-297 to establish a Local Multipoint Distribution Service. Also discussed were issues addressed in comments and reply comments in ET Docket No. 94-124. Video/Phone was represented by its president Don Franco and its undersigned counsel, Walter Sonnenfeldt. The Commission was represented by Office of Plans and Policy Chief Robert M. Pepper, Deputy Chief Donald H. Gips, Director of Technology Policy Mark A. Corbitt, and Industry Analyst Amy C. Lesch.

In accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules, attached hereto are copies of view graphs used in Video/Phone's presentation. Pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules, one copy of this letter is being submitted herewith. Copies of this letter are also being simultaneously delivered to the above-mentioned Commission representatives.

Very truly yours,

Walter H. Sommenfeldt

Counsel to Video/Phone Systems, Inc.

FCC Office Of Plans & cc:

Policy Staff

No. of Copies rec'd

List ABCDE

LMDS/FSS CO-PRIMARY SHARING

PRESENTATION TO FCC OFFICE OF PLANS AND POLICY

VIDEO/PHONE SYSTEMS, INC. May 1, 1995

Summary

- Video/Phone Initiatives
- NarrowBeam_™ System Description
- LMDS/FSS Sharing Is Feasible
- Sharing Solutions
- Regulation
- LMDS Should Not Be Relocated

Video/Phone Sharing Initiatives

- Recognized Complex Sharing Issues Early
- Sharing Considerations Incorporated In NarrowBeam_™ Network Architecture
- Opened Dialogue With Satellite & Terrestrial Parties
- Proposed LMDS/FSS Negotiated Rulemaking
- Major NRMC Participant
- Work Ongoing

NarrowBeam_™ System Description

- Advanced Gallium Arsenide Technology
- Multiple Sectors
- Full Duplex Broadband Operation
- Flexible Deployment
- Analog or Digital
- Low Cost
- Interference Into FSS Can Be Precluded
- Advanced System Options Can Eliminate FSS Interference

LMDS/FSS Sharing Is Feasible

- Several Solutions
- NRMC Did Not Have Enough Time To Study Solutions
- Many Solutions Also Increase System Capacity

Sharing Solutions

FSS Into LMDS

- Planned Frequency Assignment
- Dynamic Frequency Assignment
- Coordination For High Power/High Duty Cycle FSS Terminals
- CDMA
- LMDS Hub Diversity
- Realtime Installation Control
- LMDS Into FSS
 - Aggregate LMDS Power Spectral Density Limits
 - Minimum FSS Space Station Receive Gain

diare

Regulation

- Technology Neutral
- Burden Shared Equitably
- Unencumbered Portion Of Spectrum For Both Services
- Conditional Access To Additional Spectrum
 - If LMDS System Can Operate In FSS Interference Environment
 - If FSS System Can Operate Without Interfering With LMDS
- Same Conditions For Both LMDS Licensees

28 GHz LMDS Should Not Be Relocated

- Sharing at 28 GHz Is Feasible
- FSS Parties Have Not Proven Otherwise
- The Commission Has Not Proposed To Relocate LMDS
- Above 40 GHz Allocation Proposal Mischaracterized By Some FSS Parties
- Moving To 40 GHz Would Increase LMDS Deployment Cost At Least 250%
- Moving To 40 GHz Would Cause Delays

Conclusions

- Sharing Is Feasible
- There Is No Need To Relocate LMDS
- Current Technology Can Solve Problem
- Burden Should Be Equitably Apportioned
- Commission Should Encourage Serious Industry Consideration of Solutions